

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/605,040	09/03/2003		Wilhelm Andreas Haberkorn		2039
33668	7590	02/24/2005		EXAM	INER .
WILHELM			PHILLIPS, C	HARLES E	
4 PAINTED BUNTING LANE SAVANNAH, GA 31411				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3751	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/605,040	HABERKORN, WILHELM ANDREAS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles E. Phillips	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17 and 31-48 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-16,18-30 and 49-67 is/are object 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	e withdrawn from considerat	tion.	
Application Papers .			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the particular application from the International Bure		received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I		received.	
Attachment(s)		·	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• —	Summary (PTO-413)	
2)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/3/03</u> .	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/605,040

Art Unit: 3753

The preliminary amendment of 1/6/05 has been entered and the voluntary election of the specie of Fig. 4 is noted.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,754,913 in view of Huck et al. Huck et al teach the use of an insulated (124) sealed water tank 114, employing line source at 36. It would have been obvious to employ this water source in lieu of that of Haberkorn as the use of one source for a bidet would have been obvious interchangeable for another.

Claims 7-16, 18-30 and 49-67 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim*** not been further treated on the merits.

Claims 17 and 31-48 stand withdrawn by applicant.

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles Phillips at telephone number (571) 272-4893.

Charles E. Phillips
Primary Examiner